

IJAXD MANUSCRIPT PREPARATION AND SUBMISSION GUIDELINES

These guidelines complement the journal manuscript template. Authors must use the journal template for layout and follow the ethical, transparency, and reporting requirements below. COPE provides publication-ethics principles and guidance; it does not prescribe one universal manuscript format. These instructions are therefore described as COPE-aligned, not as a claim of COPE certification or endorsement.

1. Scope and article types

The journal considers original and rigorous work relevant to animation, visual effects, gaming, comics, design, artificial intelligence, extended reality (XR), and associated creative and digital technologies. Submissions may include original research, review articles, case studies, design/technical studies, short communications, and other categories announced by the journal. Authors should select the most appropriate article type at submission.

2. General submission requirements

- Submit an editable manuscript file in Microsoft Word (.docx) using the current journal template. Do not redesign the template or manually override its styles.
- The manuscript must be original, sufficiently complete for peer review, and written in clear academic English. Authors are responsible for language quality and factual accuracy.
- Authors must submit a Plagiarism/Similarities Report and an AI Content Detection Report as separate supporting documents during manuscript submission. Authors must also disclose any substantive use of AI tools. Reports are used for editorial screening and do not independently determine plagiarism or AI authorship. Authors remain responsible for the originality, accuracy, and integrity of their submissions.
- All manuscripts must be submitted through the IJAXD Online Journal System (OJS). Authors should upload all required files, complete the submission metadata, and confirm that the manuscript complies with the journal's policies before final submission.
- Submit only work that is not published, accepted, or under consideration elsewhere. The same manuscript must not be submitted to another journal while it is under review here.
- Remove author names, affiliations, acknowledgements, self-identifying file metadata, and other identifying details from the main manuscript if the journal uses double-anonymous review. Provide author details in the submission metadata or a separate title page as instructed by the submission system.
- Include all required declarations at submission. Missing ethics, authorship, funding, conflict-of-interest, or permissions information may delay screening.
- Do not include fabricated, falsified, manipulated, or misleading data, images, citations, results, or reviewer suggestions.
- To support the costs of peer review, editing, and open-access hosting, authors are required to pay 2000 Indian rupees, including GST, along with payment gateway charges as an Article Processing Charge (APC) upon editorial acceptance of their manuscript. Please complete your payment using our secure portal below.
- After completing the payment, authors must email the payment receipt to contact@avgcxrsolutions.com for invoice generation within seven days. Failure to submit the payment receipt may delay/reject the invoicing process and publication of the final article.

3. Manuscript structure and formatting

3.1 Title and author information

Use a concise, informative title (7 to 10 words) that accurately reflects the work. Avoid unnecessary abbreviations, unexplained acronyms, promotional claims, and overly broad wording. List each author's full name and institutional affiliation (department/division, institution, city, country). Mark one corresponding author and provide a reliable email address. ORCID iDs are encouraged.

3.2 Abstract and keywords

Provide a single-paragraph abstract of 150–250 words, consistent with the template. Briefly state the research problem or objective, approach/method, principal results, and conclusion or contribution. The abstract should stand alone; avoid citations, figure/table references, equations, and undefined abbreviations. Add 4–6 specific keywords that improve discoverability and are not merely repetitions of every word in the title.

3.3 Main text

For original research, use the template's main sections where appropriate: I. Introduction; II. Related Work; III. Methodology; IV. Results and Discussion; V. Conclusion; Acknowledgement (if applicable); Declarations; and References. Adapt section names for review articles or other article types, while preserving a logical and transparent structure.

- Introduction: explain the problem, context, research gap, objectives or research questions, and contribution.
- Related Work: critically synthesize relevant literature and distinguish the present work from prior studies.
- Methodology: describe materials, participants or datasets, tools/software and versions, procedures, analytical methods, and evaluation criteria in enough detail to support assessment and, where feasible, replication.
- Results and Discussion: report findings clearly, refer to every figure and table in the text, interpret results in relation to prior work, and acknowledge limitations. Avoid presenting unsupported claims as facts.
- Conclusion: State the principal findings, significance, limitations (where relevant), and realistic future directions. Do not simply repeat the abstract.

3.4 Headings, equations, tables, and figures

- Use the built-in Word Styles in the template. Apply Heading 1 to main numbered sections, Heading 2 to subsections, and Heading 3 only where needed. Keep the template's numbering and hierarchy.
- Number equations consecutively and refer to them in the text. Define symbols and variables and use consistent units.
- Number tables and figures consistently with the template. Provide concise, informative captions; define abbreviations and symbols in notes where needed. Refer to each table and figure in the manuscript.
- Supply figures at sufficient resolution for publication. Text, labels, and axes must remain legible at the final size. For plots, explain units, sample sizes, error bars, and statistical notation where applicable.
- Do not alter images in ways that misrepresent the underlying evidence. Disclose substantive image processing. For AI-generated or AI-modified images, disclose the tool and the nature of its use when relevant, and ensure the image does not falsely depict research evidence.
- Authors must obtain permission for copyrighted images, screenshots, artwork, datasets, instruments, and other third-party material where required. Credit sources and retain evidence of permission.

4. References and citation style

Use numbered citations in square brackets in order of first appearance, following the supplied template (for example, [1] or [2], [3]). Number the reference list in the same order. Use a consistent IEEE-like bibliographic style as illustrated in the template for journal articles, books, conference papers, and online resources.

- Every in-text citation must correspond to a reference-list entry, and every listed reference should be cited in the text.

- Verify author names, article titles, publication details, page ranges, year, DOI, and URLs against the source. Include a DOI in URL form when available.
- Cite primary sources when appropriate and do not cite sources that have not been consulted. References must not be fabricated or generated without verification.
- Clearly identify preprints, datasets, software, standards, websites, and other non-traditional research outputs. Give access dates for web resources when appropriate.
- Personal communications and unpublished material should be cited only when necessary, with permission where appropriate, and must not be presented as publicly verifiable evidence.

5. Publication ethics and required declarations

Authors must follow recognized standards of research integrity. The journal may request supporting documentation and may investigate concerns before or after publication. The following statements should appear after the conclusion and before the references, where applicable. If a declaration is not applicable, state this explicitly rather than silently omitting it.

Author Contributions: Describe each author's substantive contribution (for example, conceptualization, methodology, software, investigation, data curation, analysis, visualization, writing—original draft, and writing—review and editing). All listed authors must approve the submitted version and accept accountability for their contributions. Contributors who do not meet the journal's authorship criteria should be acknowledged with their permission.

Funding: Name all funding sources and grant numbers, or state, "This research received no external funding."

Conflict of Interest / Competing Interests: Disclose financial, professional, personal, institutional, or other relationships that could reasonably be perceived to influence the work, or state that no competing interests exist.

Ethics Approval and Consent: For research involving human participants, identifiable personal data, interviews, surveys, user studies, or other human-subject research, identify the approving ethics committee/institution, approval or reference number, and consent process where applicable. If approval was not required, explain why according to the applicable institutional rules. For research involving animals, state the relevant approval and welfare safeguards. Do not claim approval that was not obtained.

Data Availability: State whether the data, code, models, prompts, materials, or other research outputs are available; where they can be accessed; and any justified restrictions. Do not disclose confidential or personal data without a lawful and ethical basis.

Use of Generative AI and AI-Assisted Tools: Disclose material use of generative AI or AI-assisted tools in the research or manuscript preparation, naming the tool and describing its role. Authors remain responsible for verifying accuracy, references, originality, permissions, and all submitted content. AI tools cannot be listed as authors and cannot take responsibility for the work. Follow any more specific journal policy in force at the time of submission.

Acknowledgements: Acknowledge non-author assistance, facilities, or support where appropriate. Obtain permission from individuals named in acknowledgements.

Consent for Publication: Where a manuscript includes identifiable information, images, interviews, or other material requiring consent for publication, confirm that the appropriate permission was obtained. Protect the privacy and dignity of participants.

6. Authorship, originality, and research misconduct

- Authorship must reflect meaningful intellectual or scholarly contributions and responsibility for the work. Honorary, gift, guest, and ghost authorship are unacceptable.

- Agree on author order and the corresponding author before submission. Any change to authorship, affiliation, or author order after submission requires a reason and written agreement from all affected authors; the editor may request institutional confirmation.
- Plagiarism, self-plagiarism that misleads readers, duplicate publication, redundant submission, citation manipulation, fabricated data, falsification, image manipulation, and misappropriation of others' work are unacceptable.
- Text overlap with the authors' prior work must be transparent and properly cited. Related manuscripts, preprints, conference papers, theses, or prior versions should be disclosed at submission so editors can assess overlap and novelty.
- If a concern arises, the journal may pause review or publication, seek explanations and original files/data, consult institutions or relevant parties, reject the submission, correct the record, or retract an article where justified. Decisions should follow a fair, documented process consistent with applicable COPE guidance.

7. Peer review and editorial process

The journal's double-blind peer-review model, reviewer selection process, and decision criteria should be stated on the journal website. Authors must not contact reviewers to influence a review, submit fabricated reviewer identities, or attempt to identify confidential reviewers where anonymity applies. Editors and reviewers must keep manuscripts confidential, declare competing interests, and not use unpublished material for personal advantage. Editorial decisions should be based on scholarly merit, relevance, validity, originality, and clarity—not on commercial interests or author identity.

A submission may be returned for technical corrections before peer review. Editorial screening does not guarantee acceptance. Acceptance is conditional on satisfactory peer review, ethical compliance, and completion of required revisions.

8. Research reporting and reproducibility

- Use recognized reporting standards relevant to the study design where available (for example, systematic review, qualitative research, experimental study, usability study, or software evaluation guidance).
- Report sample selection, exclusions, evaluation metrics, statistical methods, software/tool versions, hardware or platform conditions, and relevant parameters needed to interpret the findings.
- For computational, AI, XR, game, animation, and interactive-media studies, describe the model or system, data provenance, configuration, evaluation protocol, and known limitations sufficiently for a specialist reader to assess the work.
- Avoid selective reporting. Distinguish exploratory analyses from pre-specified hypotheses when relevant. Report negative or inconclusive findings when they are material to the research question.

9. Copyright, permissions, and licensing

Authors must ensure that the submission does not infringe copyright, privacy, confidentiality, trademark, or other third-party rights. Obtain written permission for material where required and provide attribution. The journal's copyright ownership, publication license, open-access terms, and any fees must be stated separately in the journal's published policies and at submission. Submission does not itself imply that authors have transferred copyright.

10. Post-publication corrections, complaints, and appeals

Authors should promptly inform the editorial office if they discover a material error or ethical issue in a submitted or published work. The journal should provide a transparent route for complaints and editorial appeals, consider them fairly,

and maintain a record of the process. Corrections, expressions of concern, retractions, or other notices may be issued when necessary to preserve the integrity of the scholarly record. Retraction is intended to correct the literature, not to punish authors.

11. Submission checklist

- ☐ The correct journal template has been used, and template styles have been preserved.
- ☐ The title (7-10 words) , abstract (150–250 words), keywords (4-6), affiliations, and corresponding-author details are complete.
- ☐ The manuscript is original, not under consideration elsewhere, and related prior versions have been disclosed.
- ☐ All figures, tables, equations, and references are cited, numbered, and checked for accuracy.
- ☐ Permissions have been obtained for third-party content where required.
- ☐ Author contributions, funding, competing interests, ethics/consent, data availability, and AI-use statements are included as applicable.
- ☐ The manuscript is anonymized if required by the journal’s review model.
- ☐ All authors have approved the submitted version and agree to be accountable for the work.

12. COPE alignment and references

These author instructions are designed to support the principles covered by COPE’s Core Practices, including allegations of misconduct; authorship and contributorship; complaints and appeals; conflicts of interest; data and reproducibility; ethical oversight; intellectual property; journal management; peer review; and post-publication discussion and corrections. COPE alignment does not mean the journal is a COPE member or has been endorsed or certified by COPE.

Primary guidance: Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), “Core Practices.” <https://publicationethics.org/core-practices>

Additional guidance: COPE, “Ethics toolkit for a successful editorial office.”

<https://publicationethics.org/search?query=files+cope+ethics+toolkit+journal+editors+publishers.pdf&urlfield=>

Prepared by

Editorial Team

International Journal of AVGC-XR Design (IJAXD)

Published by AVGCXR Design Tech Solutions (OPC) Private Limited